

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,752	09/17/2003	Tohru Den	03500.014806.1	3824
5514	7590 01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			DIAMOND, ALAN D	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Ź			1753	
•			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/663,752	DEN, TOHRU	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan Diamond	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applica 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe e date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offiled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final	Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ———		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NC	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered : w or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>42 and 44-50</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		al D	
<u> </u>		Alan Diamond Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitation in claims 42 and 50 of "two electrodes regions between which the charge transfer regions and the light absorption region are positioned" would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.